Program: School Counseling MAED EDS

CIP Number: 13.1101

Department: Educational Studies, Leadership, and Counseling

College: College of Education and Human Services

Accreditation

Changes Made in Response to the Assessment Process or Results: FORMATIVE INSTRUMENT/TEST 2:	The small sample size must be noted. Nonetheless, the trend is continuous from previous year reports. Change is not necessary at this time since interventions are producing the desired outcomes. Working Stage Skills Rubric (CNS 619)
Instrument/Test Procedures and Description: Criteria for Success:	Foundational working stage counseling skills will be measured in CNS 619 by using a skill rubric based on CACREP standards to assess video-taped counseling sessions submitted by each student at the end of the course . Data is collected through in-class assessments and graded with a common rubric in terms of proficiency. The rubric describes skill level that "exceeds standards," "meets standards," "developing standards," or "does not meet standards." Emphasis is placed on the formative development of the Working Stage Skills. At least 90% of students will " according to faculty evaluation and application of the skill rubric (CACREP Section I G[(-)] ?

	ASSESSMENT Teat. 2017-2010
	writing and appropriate understanding of a counseling theory. Results
	indicate that the majority of the students understood their selected
	theory, mid-way through the semester.
Changes Made in	
Response to the	Results indicate the adjustments made to the course after reviewing
Assessment Process or	data from the 2016-2017 report have resulted in desired outcomes. The
Results:	program will continue to have students orally present their case
ixesuits.	conceptualizations of weekly case studies to promote overall
	understanding of theoretical tenets.
FORMATIVE	In-class Exam (CNS 624)
INSTRUMENT/TEST 2:	In-Class Exam (CNS 024)
Instrument/Test	At the and of the competer, an accessment that maccures student
Procedures and	At the end of the semester, an assessment that measures student
Description:	understanding of counseling theory will be given in CNS 624.
Criteria for Success:	At least 90% of students will answer exam content with 85% accuracy.
Results and Analysis:	Students were assessed according to a set rubric that evaluated writing
	quality and depth of understanding of multiple theories. According to
	the results, 75% of students (n = 12) met exam content with at least
	85% accuracy. Though criteria for success was not met, it should be
	noted that only one student scored under an 80%; essentially,
	indicating that our students had a solid understanding of counseling
	theories.
Changes Made in	Results indicate the adjustments made to the course after reviewing
Response to the	
Assessment Process or	data from the 2016-2017 report have resulted in desired outcomes. The
Results:	program will continue to have students orally present their case
	conceptualizations of weekly case studies to promote overall
	understanding of theoretical tenets.
SUMMATIVE	Midpoint Clinical Application Exam Rubric (taken after completing 33
INSTRUMENT/TEST 1:	credit hours & when in the semester of or after taking CNS 790;
	however, this exam assess the mastery level of foundational counseling
	•
T (//T)	knowledge, which is required prior to the internship experience)
Instrument/Test	The Midpoint Clinical Application Exam is a written exam that consists of
Procedures and	two case studies that will assess student understanding of foundational
Description:	counseling principles (i.e., building clinical relationships, multicultural
	awareness, theoretical awareness, and ethical and professional
	understanding). The Midpoint Clinical Application Exam is read
	independently and anonymously by a minimum of two counseling
	program faculty readers. Evaluation is based on (a) organizational ability,
	(b) demonstrated knowledge of relevant literature, (c) conceptual
	understanding, (d) ability to defend a given position, (e) clarity of
	presentation, and (f) CACREP standards. The rubric describes skill level
	that "exceeds standards," "meets standards," "developing standards," or
	"does not meet standards."
Criteria for Success:	At least 80% of students will " on the criteria specific
	to theoretical awareness for the Midpoint Clinical Application Exam.
Results and Analysis:	During the 2017-2018 academic year, a total of four students
Results and Analysis.	During the 2017-2016 academic year, a total of four students

During the 2017-2018 academic year, a total of four students completed the Midpoint Clinical Application Exam. 50% of these

	Assessment 1 car. 2017-2016
FORMATIVE	Disposition Meeting #1 (occurs at the end of each semester)
INSTRUMENT/TEST 1:	
Instrument/Test	Files for students who are newly admitted to the Counseling Program
Procedures and	will be accessed at the end of their first enrolled semester. Counseling
Description:	faculty will collectively review and assess student dispositions,
	<u> </u>
	professional attitudes and behaviors using a common rubric. The
	common rubric describes professional orientation and ethical
	understanding as "exceeds standards," "meets standards," "developing
	standards," or "does not meet standards."
Criteria for Success:	At least 90% of students will "meet standards" for professional
	orientation and ethics on the standard rubric.
Results and Analysis:	SLO 4 was not assessed for 2017-2018 report
Changes Made in	SLO 4 was not assessed for 2017-2018 report
Response to the	220 Hot doubled for moto report
Assessment Process or	
Results:	
FORMATIVE	Disposition Meeting #2 (occurs at the end of semester in which the
INSTRUMENT/TEST 2:	student was enrolled in Practicum [CNS 790])
Instrument/Test	Files for students who completed practicum (CNS 790) will be
Procedures and	accessed at the end of that semester. Counseling faculty will
Description:	collectively review and assess student dispositions, professional
	attitudes and behaviors using a common rubric. The common rubric
	<u> </u>
	describes professional orientation and ethical understanding as
	"exceeds standards," "meets standards," "developing standards," or
	"does not meet standards."
Criteria for Success:	At least 90% of students will "meet standards" for professional
	orientation and ethics on the standard rubric.
Results and Analysis:	SLO 4 was not assessed for 2017-2018 report
Changes Made in	SLO 4 was not assessed for 2017-2018 report
Response to the	
Assessment Process or	
Results:	
SUMMATIVE INSTRUMENT/TEST 1:	Midpoint Clinical Application Exam Rubric (taken after completing 33
INSTRUMENT/TEST I;	credit hours & when in the semester of or after taking CNS 790;
	however, this exam assess the mastery level of foundational counseling
	knowledge, which is required prior to the internship experience)
Instrument/Test	The Midpoint Clinical Application Exam is a written exam that consists of
Procedures and	two case studies that will assess student understanding of foundational
Description:	counseling principles (i.e., building clinical relationships, multicultural
	awareness, theoretical awareness, and ethical and professional
	understanding)
	unuci samunig)

Assessment Teat. 2017-2016			
	that "exceeds standards," "meets standards," "developing standards," or		
	"does not meet standards."		
Criteria for Success:	At least 80% of students will " on the criteria specific		
	to ethical and professional understanding on the Midpoint Clinical		
	Application Exam.		
Results and Analysis:	SLO 4 was not assessed for 2017-2018 report		
Changes Made in	SLO 4 was not assessed for 2017-2018 report		
Response to the			
Assessment Process or			
Results:			
SUMMATIVE	Counselor Preparation Comprehensive Examination (CPCE; taken in		
INSTRUMENT/TEST 2:	the last semester or the semester prior to graduation)		
Instrument/Test	Students will answer 16 professional orientation and ethics specific		
Procedures and	questions on a nationally normed comprehensive exam.		
Description:	1		
Criteria for Success:	80% of students will demonstrate competence by scoring within one		
	standard deviation of the national average on the Professional		
	Orientation and Ethics portion of the exam.		
Results and Analysis:	SLO 4 was not assessed for 2017-2018 report		
Changes Made in	SLO 4 was not assessed for 2017-2018 report		
Response to the	1		
Assessment Process or			
Results:			