Changes Made in Response to the

*clinical relationships* criteria was passed by this student by exceeding the standard on the second attempt).

For the child/adolescent case study, 57% (n = 7) met or exceeded standards on the criteria for *building clinical relationships* on the first attempt. The three students who did not pass the *building clinical relationships* criteria during the first attempt, did pass in the second attempt by meeting standards for this criteria.

When combing the first attempts for both the adult and child/adolescent case studies, results indicated that 57% (n = 7) of the students met or exceeded standards for the *building clinical relationships* criteria. However, 100% of students met or exceeded standards when a second attempt was required.

As this exam is given at midpoint in their program, it stands to reason that not all students will be able to demonstrate the skills that are necessary to build clinical relationships. Howeionshti2724.279cessa**41** 

the cultural

	students met or exceeded standards for the <i>multicultural awareness</i> criteria. However, 100% of students met or exceeded standards when a second attempt was required.
	As multicultural counseling is aspirational in nature, the results of this exam speak to the notion that students will continue to develop cultural counseling competencies as they experience diverse cases. Also, this exam demonstrates that with proper supervision, students are able to identify cultural counseling deficiencies that they may possess.
Changes Made in Response to the Assessment Process or Results:	Given the low scores on the child and adolescent case studies, there

application of his/her counseling theory. The rubric describes theoretical comprehension standard standards,

	organizational ability, (b) demonstrated knowledge of relevant
	literature, (c) conceptual understanding, (d) ability to defend a given
	position, (e) clarity of presentation, and (f) CACREP standards.
Criteria for Success:	on the criteria
	specific to <i>theoretical awareness</i> for the Midpoint Clinical
	Application Exam.
Results and Analysis:	For the adult case study, 71% ( $n = 7$ ) met or exceeded standards on
	the criteria for <i>theoretical awareness</i> on the first attempt (two
	students needed to retake the adult case study, and the <i>theoretical</i>
	awareness criteria was passed by these students by meeting and
	exceeding the standards on the second attempt).
	For the child/adolescent case study, 86% $(n = 7)$ met or exceeded
	standards on the criteria for <i>theoretical awareness</i> on the first
	attempt. The one student who did not pass the <i>theoretical awareness</i>
	criteria during the first attempt, did pass in the second attempt by
	meeting the standard for this criteria.
	incering the standard for this effectia.
	When combing the first attempts for both the adult and
	child/adolescent case studies, results indicated that $71\%$ (n = 7) of the
	students met or exceeded standards for the <i>theoretical awareness</i>
	criteria. However, 100% of students met or exceeded standards when
	a second attempt was required.
	Decults from this around indicate that the dents are continue in t
	Results from this exam indicate that students are continuing to
	develop their ability to conceptualize cases via their theoretical lens.
Changes Made in Response to the	During the 2015-2016 academic year there will be a concerted effort
Assessment Process or	to provide case studies in 0 1 11a
Results:	

Student Learning Outcome Assessment